

**Subject:** Western Wake Partners EIS

**From:** Bob Kelly <bobkelly@mindspring.com>

**Date:** Thu, 04 Feb 2010 16:57:31 -0500

**To:** "Wicker, Henry M JR SAW" <Henry.M.Wicker.JR@usace.army.mil>

**CC:** commissioners@co.wake.nc.us, council@townofcary.org, allcouncil@apexnc.org, dick.sears@hollyspringsnc.us, james.cobb@hollyspringsnc.us, tim.sack@hollyspringsnc.us, chet.vanfossen@hollyspringsnc.us, linda.hunt.williams@hollyspringsnc.us, parish.womble@hollyspringsnc.us, jholcombe@townofmorrisville.org, pmartin@townofmorrisville.org, llyons@townofmorrisville.org, sdiehl@townofmorrisville.org, ljohnson@townofmorrisville.org, mbroadwell@townofmorrisville.org, tmurry@townofmorrisville.org, rlk <rlk@mindspring.com>

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District  
Regulatory Division  
Attn: File Number 2005-20159  
69 Darlington Avenue  
Wilmington, NC 28403



Email address: [Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil](mailto:Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil)

Dear Mr. Wicker,

I am writing in reference to the Western Wake Regional Waste Water Treatment Facility.

An analysis of Appendix A in the Final EIS reveals there is overwhelming opposition to using Site 14 for the WWP sewage plant. The data provided by USACE shows the following:

45 people spoke at the public hearing. 258 comments were made by the 45 speakers. Of the 258 comments:

- 37 comments (14%) were in favor of Site 14. These were mostly town officials. Only a very few residents spoke in favor of Site 14.
- 218 comments (84%) were against Site 14
- 3 comments, (1%) were neutral.

There were 134 letters/email/communications received during the comment period. According to USACE, there were 793 comments made in the 134 letters/email/communications. Of the 793 comments:

- 32 comments (4%) were in favor of Site 14. These were mostly town officials; however, a few individuals were in favor of Site 14.
- 656 comments (83%) were against Site 14
- 105 comments (13%) were neutral. To explain the neutral comments: many state agencies wrote to give advice to USACE. They did not endorse or refute Site 14, so they were counted as neutral. Also, a couple of people filled out comment cards but did not include comments. These were counted as neutral.

Based on the comments made at the hearing, and the comments taken from letter and email communications, there is overwhelming public outcry to use a site other than Site 14. Why can't the USACE and the Mayors and Town Councils of the WWP towns understand this? Why do they insist on forcing their sewage plant onto a community that does not want it and will not benefit from it when there are other suitable sites available within one mile of Site 14?

Why is the public outcry against using Site 14 so hard for the WWP and the USACE to understand?

Sincerely,  
Bob Kelly  
3020 Olive Farm Road  
Apex, NC 27502