

Subject: Fw: Western Wake Partners FEIS
From: "Buddy Holt" <buddyholt@cox.net>
Date: Sun, 7 Feb 2010 16:14:16 -0600
To: <rlk@mindspring.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: [Buddy Holt](#)
To: Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil
Sent: Sunday, February 07, 2010 4:12 PM
Subject: Fw: Western Wake Partners FEIS

----- Original Message -----

From: [Buddy Holt](#)
To: james.cobb@hollyspringsnc.us ; tmurry@townofmorrisville.org ; sdiehl@townofmorrisville.org ;
rlk@mindspring.com ; pmartin@townofmorrisville.org ; mbroadwell@townofmorrisville.org ;
llyons@townofmorrisville.org ; ljohnson@townofmorrisville.org ; jholcombe@townofmorrisville.org ;
dick.sears@hollyspringsnc.us ; council@townofcary.org ; commissioners@co.wake.nc.us ;
chet.vanfossen@hollyspringsnc.us ; allcouncil@apexnc.org ; tim.sack@hollyspringsnc.us ;
linda.hunt.williams@hollyspringsnc.us ; parish.womble@hollyspringsnc.us
Sent: Sunday, February 07, 2010 3:58 PM
Subject: Western Wake Partners FEIS

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District

Regulatory Division

Attn: File Number 2005-20159

69 Darlington Avenue

Wilmington, NC 28403

Email address: Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil

Dear Mr. Wicker,

I am writing in reference to the Western Wake Regional Waste Water Treatment Facility. The Final EIS is incomplete, inaccurate and misleading. For example: I call your attention to the following sections of the FEIS:

Executive Summary: Lines 14 - 15

This document was developed through a project delivery team (PDT) process that included federal, state, and local agencies and the public.

Executive Summary: Lines 363 -366

The USACE has made a preliminary determination that, based upon existing information, these impacts on benefits, when considered as a whole, are not significantly adverse to the extent that permit denial or mitigation beyond that already outlined will be required.

Executive Summary: Lines 403 -407

The PDT was established by USACE to involve agency representatives and the public in the EIS development process and to periodically conduct meetings to obtain input from the participants on EIS components. The PDT



included representatives from NCDWQ, and other state and federal agencies, the Partners, Wake County, Chatham County, and the New Hill community.

Section 2 - Description of Proposed Action and Alternatives: Lines 853 - 856

The USACE has made a preliminary determination that, based upon existing information, these impacts and benefits, when considered as a whole, are not significantly adverse to the extent that permit denial or mitigation beyond that already outlined will be required.

Section 4 - Environmental Consequences: Lines 1981 - 1984

The USACE has made a preliminary determination that based upon existing information, these impacts and benefits, when considered as a whole, are not significantly adverse to the extent that permit denial or mitigation beyond that already outlined will be required.

Section 5 - Cumulative and Other Impacts: Lines 700 - 702

The USACE has made a preliminary determination that, based upon existing information, these impacts and benefits, when considered as a whole, are not significantly adverse to the extent that permit denial or mitigation beyond that already outlined will be required.

Over and over again, the USACE touts the fact that the PDT process was used to develop alternatives to Site 14, yet, when the critical decision shown above was made, the PDT attendees were never given the opportunity to discuss the decision.

Reference AppA_Public_Involvement: App_A12d_CommentResponses.pdf Response III.110 states:

The purpose of the PDT is not to make decisions, but to ensure that the appropriate issues are addressed in the EIS. The PDT's activities serve as an extension of the scoping process for the project. Decisions and determinations on the ways conclusions are written are the responsibility of the permitting agency (USACE).

This is further indication the USACE is following the lead of Cary and the rest of the WWP towns by refusing to listen to and/or consider input from the residents of New Hill.

Until the residents of New Hill are given the respect they deserve, the Final EIS, and all existing plans for a sewage plant in the New Hill vicinity should be scrapped.

Sincerely,

Carl C. Holt
409 Westlake Court
Niceville, FL. 32579