

**Subject:** Western Wake Partners FEIS  
**From:** "RAY FIELDS" <rfields@perigee.net>  
**Date:** Thu, 4 Feb 2010 20:26:44 -0500  
**To:** <Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil>

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington District

Regulatory Division

Attn: File Number 2005-20159

69 Darlington Avenue

Wilmington, NC 28403



Email address: [Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil](mailto:Henry.M.Wicker.Jr@usace.army.mil)

Dear Mr. Wicker,

I am writing in reference to the Western Wake Regional Waste Water Treatment Facility. The Final EIS is incomplete, inaccurate, and misleading. For example:

Appendix A, Public Involvement, Section: Comment Responses, Response I.1 states:

*"Site selection and environmental justice were controversial issues during the prior SEPA process, with many members of the public questioning the process used by the Partners to select site 14 as the WRF site. The USACE made the determination that, similar to other NEPA and permitting efforts, the Applicant is allowed to propose their project and this project is evaluated throughout the EIS."*

This statement should have been included in the Executive Summary. Elimination of this statement from the Executive Summary ignores all of the concerns brought forward by the New Hill community over the selection of Site 14, and leaves the reader to assume there were no concerns by New Hill residents.

The Final EIS as it exists now should be rejected, and the site selection process begun again, only this time, the residents of New Hill should be treated as equals when it comes to making decisions on where to locate the sewage plant.

Sincerely,

Ann, Ray, & Sheila Fields  
13116 Saddletree Court  
Matthews, NC 28105-3942